

01/21/2009

To the United States Patent and Trademark Office

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JUL 05 2007

Appl. No: 09/902227,
Appl. Filed: 11th of July 2001
Applicants: R. D. Hersch, B. Wittwer
Patent owner: Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
Appl. Title: **Method and computing system for creating and displaying images with animated microstructures**
Examiner/GAU: Dennis Rosario-Vasquez /2621

Commissioner for Patents
P.O.Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Fax +1 571-273-8300

Cover letter for "Substance of interview"

Lausanne, 4th of July, 2007

Sir:

Please receive in the present fax the following document :

1. Substance of interview of May 30, 2007 (page 1 to page 2)

Total: 3 pages including this cover page

Respectfully

Roger D. Hersch

Roger D. Hersch, applicant

Correspondance address:
EPFL, IC-LSP, Station 14,
CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland

2nd trial, since
first fax did not
get through

Email: RD.Hersch@epfl.ch, tel +4121 693 43 57, fax +4121 693 66 80

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 05 2007

page 1

To the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Serial Number: 09/902,227
Appl. Filed: 11th of July 2001
Applicants: Roger D. Hersch, Bernard Wittwer
Patent owner: Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)
Examiner/GAU: Dennis Rosario-Vasquez /2621

Appn. Title: Method and computing system for creating and displaying images with animated microstructures

Commissioner for Patents
P.O.Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Lausanne, 4th of July, 2007

Substance of interview

In reply to Interview Summary mailed by PTO on 8th of June 2007

Sir:

Applicant acknowledges the receipt of the Interview Summary with Examiner Dennis Rosario, mailed 8th of June 2007, and note the Examiner's statement regarding the interview.

In said interview on May 30, 2007, Applicant asked Examiner if it is possible to reply to the substance of the previous interview, mailed on 7th of May, with amended independent claims incorporating (a) the limitation discussed during the previous interview of May 2, 2007:

"where said visual motive elements represented by said microstructure are evolve spatially independently independent of the a content of said two-dimensional original image content;

and (b) with an additional limitation, derived from the following fact:

The rendered original image remains the same, i.e. it remains static, since, due to halftoning, the spatially evolving visual motive elements (text) adapt their intensities, respectively colors to the original image intensities, respectively colors.

The proposed additional limitation in the claims is:

where said halftoning adapts intensities, respectively colors of said visual motive elements to intensities, respectively colors of said two-dimensional original image;

Pat Appl 09/902,227, Interview Substance, July 7th, 2007

page 2

Applicant proposed to send the reply with the amended claims, saying that the new reply ""Amendment after final - substance of interview" replaces the reply "Amendment after final" dated 4th of May 2007.

Examiner answered that Applicant can send the "Amendment after final - substance of interview" that will replace the previous "Amendment after final". Examiner will update his search based on the "Amendment after final-substance of interview" and allow the application if nothing pertinent is found.

Note that the ""Amendment after final - substance of interview" was faxed to the US PTO on the 30th of May 2007.

Respectfully

Roger D. Hersch

Roger D. Hersch

mailing address of applicant:

Prof. R.D. Hersch,
EPFL-IC-LSP, Station 14,
CH-1015 Lausanne (Switzerland),
Fax +4121 693 66 80,
Tel +4121 693 43 57, email: RD.Hersch@epfl.ch